Growth and nickel uptake by serpentine and non-serpentine populations of *Fimbristylis ovata* (Cyperaceae) from Sri Lanka
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**Abstract.** Compared with serpentine floras of Southeast Asia, the serpentine vegetation of Sri Lanka is impoverished in regard to serpentine endemics and nickel hyperaccumulators. All species so far documented from the serpentine outcrops of Sri Lanka also have non-serpentine populations; it is unclear whether the serpentine populations are physiologically distinct and deserve ecotypic recognition. We conducted a preliminary study to examine whether serpentine and non-serpentine populations of *Fimbristylis ovata* represent locally adapted ecotypes by investigating their growth and potential for nickel uptake and tolerance under greenhouse conditions. Although both populations of *F. ovata* showed a similar growth pattern in serpentine soil during short-term exposure (21 days), the non-serpentine population was unable to survive in serpentine soil under long-term exposure (4 months). Both populations were able to uptake nickel from serpentine soil during short-term exposure (21 days). The serpentine population, however, translocated significantly more nickel from its roots to shoots (translocation factor 0.43) than the non-serpentine population (translocation factor 0.29). Our preliminary investigations suggest that the serpentine and non-serpentine populations of *F. ovata* may be locally adapted to their respective soils. However, additional studies are required to determine whether the populations deserve ecotypic recognition.
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**Introduction**

Serpentine soil is derived from a range of ultramafic rocks (Moores 2011) and contains elevated concentrations of metals such as nickel (Ni), chromium (Cr), cadmium (Cd) and cobalt (Co) and is characterised by a calcium : magnesium (Ca : Mg) ratio of <1, low essential nutrients including nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K), moisture stress, soil instability, high soil surface temperature, and a generally high pH (O’Dell and Rajakaruna 2011). Serpentine soils are found in many parts of the world and they harbour distinct plant communities consisting of a high proportion of rare and endemic species (Alexander et al. 2007; Rajakaruna et al. 2009; Harrison and Rajakaruna 2011) as well as locally adapted populations, i.e. ecotypes (O’Dell and Rajakaruna 2011). In Sri Lanka, ultramafic rocks occur along a Precambrian suture zone at the boundary of the Vijayan and Highland Series, metamorphic remnants of two ancient tectonic plates (Dissanayake and Van Riel 1978; Munasinghe and Dissanayake 1979, 1980; Dissanayake 1982; Ranasinghe 1987). The geochemistry of these outcrops, particularly of Ussangoda along the southern coast, has received much attention in recent years (Tennakoon et al. 2007; Rajapaksha et al. 2012, 2013; Hewawasam et al. 2014; Vithanage et al. 2014). Knowledge of ultramafic geology and soils is fundamental to biological and ecological studies and the geochemical research has laid a strong foundation for interdisciplinary studies examining the soil–biota relations of the serpentine outcrops of Sri Lanka. The floristics of the serpentine outcrops of Sri Lanka, especially of Ussangoda, have also received some attention (Brooks 1987; Seneviratne et al. 2000; Rajakaruna and Bohm 2002; Rajakaruna et al. 2002; Rajakaruna and Baker 2004; Weerasinghe and Iqbal 2011); however, ecological, evolutionary and applied research on serpentine plants and their associated biota is minimal. Several plant species found at Ussangoda are able to uptake high levels of heavy metals (Rajakaruna and Bohm 2002; Weerasinghe and Iqbal 2011), including levels of Ni considered as hyperaccumulation (van der Ent et al. 2013a; Pollard et al. 2014). Notable in this regard are *Evolvulus alsinoides* (Convolvulaceae; Seneviratne et al. 2000; Rajakaruna and Bohm 2002; Rajakaruna and Baker 2004; MCM Iqbal, YAS Samithri, DSA Wijesundera, unpubl. data), *Hybanthus enneaspermus* (Violaceae; Seneviratne et al. 2000; Rajakaruna and Bohm 2002; Rajakaruna and Baker 2004; Weerasinghe and Iqbal 2011), *Flacourtia indica* (Flacourtiaceae;...
et al (Reeves 2003; Fernando and Claassen 2011). The majority of species currently utilised for operations in tropical climes.

For those species showing any intraspecific variation with respect to morphological or physiological features, including flowering time differences, common garden and reciprocal transplant experiments (Wright and Stanton 2011) should be undertaken to determine whether populations are locally adapted to their substrate (i.e. whether there is evidence for ecotypic differentiation). Ecotypes are a critical stage in the speciation process (O’Dell and Rajakaruna 2011) and the recognition of such population differentiation is critical for evolutionary studies (Harrison and Rajakaruna 2011) as well as for the selection of populations to be used in site remediation or restoration (Gall and Rajakaruna 2013). Rajakaruna and Bohm (2002) stated that several serpentine-associated taxa in Sri Lanka might benefit from further observations and additional greenhouse studies to determine whether the serpentine populations are genetically distinct and are worthy of ecotypic recognition. These taxa include several Ni-accumulating and -hyperaccumulating species, particularly Hybanthus enneasperus, Evolvulus alsinoides, Crotalaria sp., Desmodium triflorum (Fabaceae) and Fimbrystilys sp. (Cyperaceae), all showing recognisable phenotypic differences between serpentine and non-serpentine populations.

Fimbrystilys ovata is found widely distributed in Sri Lanka. Rajakaruna and Bohm (2002) and Weerasinghe and Iqbal (2011) reported dry leaf tissue Ni concentrations of 371.5 μg g⁻¹ and 220 μg g⁻¹, respectively, for F. ovata plants from Ussangoda, where it is one of the dominant herbaceous ground-cover species. Field observations have pointed to differences in plant size and growth habit among plants found on and off serpentine soils and it is unclear whether these populations represent ecotypes, locally adapted to their distinct substrates. In the present study, we examine whether there is evidence for physiological differentiation within this species in response to serpentine soils, and compare the growth and potential for uptake and accumulation of Ni between serpentine and non-serpentine populations under greenhouse conditions.

Materials and methods

Chemicals and instrumentation

Analytical grade chemicals and reagents were used in all experiments. Deionised water obtained from an ion-exchange water apparatus (Advancet Model Aquarius GS-20, Tokyo, Japan) was used to prepare all aqueous solutions. The pH of solutions was measured by a pH meter (Thermo Russell Model START D, Italy). Ni was analysed by atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS; GBC 933 M, Melbourne, Vic., Australia) at the wavelength of 232.0 nm, using air-acetylene flame.

Sampling of plants

Fifty F. ovata plants were randomly collected from throughout each of the study sites at Ussangoda (serpentine habitat; coordinates 6°06′01″N, 80°59′06″E) and Pallekele (non-serpentine habitat; coordinates 7°16′48″N, 80°42′09″E) and their taxonomy was verified at the National Herbarium, Royal Botanic Garden, Peradeniya, Sri Lanka. Of the collected plants, only those of similar size and fresh weight were selected for the experiments. Roots and shoots of all the plants were washed thoroughly with deionised water before they were introduced into the growth media.

Preparation of growth media

Serpentine soil samples were collected from different locations of the Ussangoda serpentine site, from within areas where the plants were collected, and were bulked and homogenised. Subsequently, the soil was passed through a sieve with aperture size 2.0 mm and used as the Ni-enriched soil treatment for subsequent experiments. The non-serpentine soil consisted of 2 : 1 : 1 garden soil, compost and sand.
**Effect of serpentine soil on the growth of *F. ovata***

Five *F. ovata* plants of each population were potted individually in five plastic pots, each consisting of 1 kg of serpentine and non-serpentine soil, respectively (five plants × five pots × two treatments). Pots were kept in a greenhouse at 28–30°C and 64–68% humidity during the experiment. Plants were exposed to natural light consisting of approximately 12 h of day and 12 h of night. Plants were watered four times per week. Plants were harvested after 4 months and their growth was assessed under the two treatments.

**Uptake of Ni from serpentine soil by serpentine and non-serpentine populations of *F. ovata***

*Fimbristylis ovata* plants were grown under conditions similar to the study described above to assess Ni uptake by the two populations. The experimental design was identical to that previously described. Plants were harvested after 21 days, and washed thoroughly in running water, followed by 10 mmol L⁻¹ solution of disodium salt of EDTA and deionised water. Plants were dried at 60°C for 3 days, and subsequently separated into shoots and roots and weighed. Plant materials were digested with 9 mL of HNO₃ 69% in a microwave digester and analysed by AAS (Varian Model AA 280FS) to determine the Ni content in plant tissues.

**Statistical analysis**

The data were analysed by one-way ANOVA, using the computer software Minitab (released 14.03, Minitab, State College, PA, USA) to determine the significance of differences between the pairs of means. The treatment means were compared using Tukey’s 95% simultaneous confidence-interval test. The differences were statistically significant when *P* < 0.05.

**Results**

**Effect of serpentine soil on the growth of *F. ovata***

*Fimbristylis ovata* plants of both populations grew well in serpentine soil during the short-term experimental period of 21 days. Significant differences were not observed in morphology, growth habit or dry weights of the two populations (Fig. 1). However, a significant difference was observed in the growth and dry weight, when the two populations grew in serpentine soil for a longer period of 4 months (Fig. 1). The serpentine population accumulated a significantly higher biomass on serpentine soil than did the non-serpentine population at the time of harvest.

**Uptake of Ni from serpentine soil by serpentine and non-serpentine populations of *F. ovata***

The two populations did not show a significant difference in Ni concentration in their shoots. Ni concentration of the roots of the serpentine population was significantly (*P* < 0.05) lower than that of the non-serpentine population (Fig. 2). However, 30% of total Ni taken up by the plant was accumulated in the shoots of the serpentine population whereas shoots of the non-serpentine population accumulated only 22%. Accumulation of Ni in shoots of both populations was lower than that in their roots (Fig. 2).

**Fig. 1.** Dry weight of shoots and roots of *Fimbristylis ovata* grown in serpentine soil and harvested after 21 days or 4 months. Bars indicate mean ± s.d., where *n* = 5. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences between treatments (at *P* = 0.05), based on Tukey’s 95% simultaneous confidence intervals test (S = serpentine, NS = non-serpentine).

**Fig. 2.** Concentration of nickel in the shoots and roots of *Fimbristylis ovata* from Ussangoda (serpentine) and Pallekele (non-serpentine) habitats, grown in serpentine soil over 21 days. Bars indicate mean ± s.d., where *n* = 5. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences between treatments (at *P* = 0.05), based on Tukey’s 95% simultaneous confidence intervals test (dw = dry weight, S = serpentine, NS = non-serpentine).

The translocation factor (TF) for Ni in *F. ovata* plants was calculated using the following equation:

\[ \text{TF} = \frac{C_{\text{shoots}}}{C_{\text{roots}}} \]

where \( C_{\text{shoots}} \) is the Ni concentration in shoots (µg g⁻¹) and \( C_{\text{roots}} \) is the metal concentration in roots (µg g⁻¹). The TF values for serpentine and non-serpentine populations were 0.43 and 0.28, respectively, indicating that the serpentine population translocated more Ni from their roots to shoots than did the non-serpentine population.

**Discussion**

Our study provides preliminary evidence for physiological differentiation in response to serpentine soils between...
serpentine and non-serpentine populations of *F. ovata*. Although both populations were able to perform similarly in serpentine soils in the short term (21 days), there was a significant difference in both above- and below-ground biomass in the serpentine population growing in serpentine soils under long-term (4 months) exposure, compared with the non-serpentine population. The lower biomass seen in the non-serpentine population when grown in serpentine soils may not be due only to Ni and other metal toxicity, but also to the deficiency of essential nutrients such as N, P, K, and Ca and moisture stress often characterising serpentine soil (Rajakaruna and Baker 2004; Alexander et al. 2007) or changes in the beneficial microbiota in the two soils (Southworth et al. 2014). Decreases in both above- and below-ground biomass when exposed to serpentine soils can have a significant influence on competitive ability (Moore and Elmendorf 2011) as well as reproductive fitness (Ghasemi et al. 2014). Such intraspecific variation leading to local adaptation (i.e. ecotypic differentiation) has been frequently cited (O’Dell and Rajakaruna 2011) for species found on and off of serpentine soils. Additional studies utilising common-garden, reciproc-alplant and genetic approaches (Wright and Stanton 2011) are now needed to demonstrate ecotypic differentiation in *F. ovata* found on serpentine and non-serpentine soils in Sri Lanka.

Both *F. ovata* populations showed similar concentrations of Ni in the shoots after a 21-day exposure; however, the roots of the non-serpentine population accumulated more Ni than did the roots of the serpentine population. This is indicated by the translocation-factor (TF) differences that we observed for Ni, with the serpentine population showing nearly a two-fold increase in Ni translocation to shoot compared with the non-serpentine population. This is a typical response seen among species and ecotypes adapted to ionically extreme soils, where the locally adapted taxon is able to translocate more of the ‘toxic’ ions into shoots than is the taxon found on ‘normal’ soils (Rajakaruna et al. 2003; O’Dell and Rajakaruna 2011), suggesting internal mechanisms in place for metal tolerance (Gall and Rajakaruna 2013). Our investigation on the Ni tolerance of *F. ovata* suggests that the serpentine populations may be suitable for phytostabilising degraded serpentine and other metal-enriched settings in Sri Lanka (Vithanage et al. 2014) and in other parts of the species’ native range. Its relatively fast growth rates, extensive above-ground material, fibrous root mass, and ease of cultivation can contribute to effective phytostabilisation (Mendez and Maier 2008; Alkorta et al. 2007) or changes in the beneficial microbiota in the two soils (Southworth et al. 2014). This high concentration indicates that the species tested was collected from a serpentine site, the locality indicated on a map presented by Brooks and Wither (1977a) suggesting a collection in the central part of the island (see fig. 1 in Rajakaruna and Baker 2004). This species was not encountered in the field exploration of Rajakaruna and Bohm (2002) and is now presumed extinct in Sri Lanka (Ministry of Environment and Renewable Energy 2012).

Our preliminary study on *F. ovata* points to the need for detailed floristic surveys to be undertaken at all of the serpentine outcrops in Sri Lanka (Rajakaruna and Bohm 2002; Vithanage et al. 2014) to document any species showing unusual physiological behaviours with respect to ion accumulation or exhibiting distinct vegetative or reproductive traits, relative to their conspecifics on non-serpentine soils. Once such taxa are documented, they should be carefully evaluated under laboratory and greenhouse conditions for any differences in their physiology and reproductive biology. Additionally, population genetic studies can be conducted to determine whether serpentine populations are genetically distinct from those found on non-serpentine soils. Only with such careful examination can we conclude whether the serpentine flora of Sri Lanka is impoverished (Brooks 1987; Rajakaruna and Baker 2004) or whether many of the *bodenwag* (i.e. soil indifferent; sensu Kruckeberg 1984) species found on serpentine outcrops of Sri Lanka should deserve ecotypic or taxonomic recognition.
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